## U.S. COURTHOUSE 401 NORTH MARKET WICHITA

Oral arguments are limited to 15 minutes each for appellant and appellee, except as otherwise provided by Supreme Court Rule 7.02(e). If additional time has been granted, it is shown below the case number.

All attorneys are expected to be present at the beginning of the morning or afternoon session in which their arguments are scheduled. Failure to appear at the proper venue in a timely manner may result in the waiver of oral argument.

When sentencing is challenged in any criminal appeal, the State, under Supreme Court Rule 2.042, has a continuing obligation to notify the appellate court clerk, in writing, of any change in the custodial status of the defendant during the pendency of the appeal. The State should determine the defendant's custodial status when the case is scheduled for oral argument or assigned to the summary calendar docket.

# Before Gardner, P.J., Coble and Bolton Fleming, JJ. Tuesday, August 5, 2025 – 9:00 A.M.

128,403

Jennifer Anderson, Braxton T. Moral Sedgwick Appellee,

Wells Fargo Clearing Services, LLC
d/b/a Wells Fargo Advisors,
Appellant.

Eric D. Martin
Alan L. Rupe

128,014

V.

Branden Williams, Richard W. Martin, Jr. Coffey

Appellee,

v.

Barbara Greenstein and Donald N. Peterson Nichole Anderson,

Appellants.

## U.S. COURTHOUSE 401 NORTH MARKET WICHITA

Oral arguments are limited to 15 minutes each for appellant and appellee, except as otherwise provided by Supreme Court Rule 7.02(e). If additional time has been granted, it is shown below the case number.

All attorneys are expected to be present at the beginning of the morning or afternoon session in which their arguments are scheduled. Failure to appear at the proper venue in a timely manner may result in the waiver of oral argument.

When sentencing is challenged in any criminal appeal, the State, under Supreme Court Rule 2.042, has a continuing obligation to notify the appellate court clerk, in writing, of any change in the custodial status of the defendant during the pendency of the appeal. The State should determine the defendant's custodial status when the case is scheduled for oral argument or assigned to the summary calendar docket.

## Before Gardner, P.J., Coble and Bolton Fleming, JJ. Tuesday, August 5, 2025 – 9:00 A.M. - continued

125,812

State of Kansas, Brian Koch Reno

Appellee, Thomas Stanton Kris W. Kobach

v.

Nicole Willard, Dylan Pryor

Appellant.

## U.S. COURTHOUSE 401 NORTH MARKET **WICHITA**

Oral arguments are limited to 15 minutes each for appellant and appellee, except as otherwise provided by Supreme Court Rule 7.02(e). If additional time has been granted, it is shown below the case number.

All attorneys are expected to be present at the beginning of the morning or afternoon session in which their arguments are scheduled. Failure to appear at the proper venue in a timely manner may result in the waiver of oral argument.

When sentencing is challenged in any criminal appeal, the State, under Supreme Court Rule 2.042, has a continuing obligation to notify the appellate court clerk, in writing, of any change in the custodial status of the defendant during the pendency of the appeal. The State should determine the defendant's custodial status when the case is scheduled for oral argument or assigned to the summary calendar docket.

## Before Gardner, P.J., Coble and Bolton Fleming, JJ. Tuesday, August 5, 2025 – 1:30 P.M.

128,006

David Greene, Jr., Marcia Greene, David Greene, III, Appellants,

Braxton T. Moral

Butler

Reno

v.

Kansas Department of Revenue, Steve Stotts in his Individual and

Official Capacity,

Appellees.

Adam D. King

127,667

State of Kansas, Sierra M. Logan Appellee, Thomas Stanton

Kris W. Kobach

V.

Cory Helmstead, James M. Latta

Appellant.

## Summary Calendar — No Oral Argument

These cases shall be deemed submitted without oral argument, and an opinion may be released prior to the regularly scheduled docket without further notice. The cases will receive full consideration by the assigned panel of judges.

## Before Gardner, P.J., Coble and Bolton Fleming, JJ. Wednesday. August 6, 2025

Wednesday, August 6, 2025	
127,370	Norris M. Hunter, Appellant, v. State of Kansas, Appellee.
128,597 128,598	In the Interests of F.M. and J.M., Minor Children.
128,808	Kendre L. Porter, Appellant, v. Dan Schnurr, et al., Appellees.
128,040	State of Kansas, Appellee, v. Francisco Alejandro Mendoza, Appellant.
128,009	State of Kansas, Appellee, v. Randy Kim Hanna, Appellant.
127,441	Tony L. Foster, Appellant, v. State of Kansas, Appellee.
127,569	State of Kansas, Appellee, v. Michael King, Appellant.
127,785	State of Kansas, Appellee, v. Michael W. Wicks, Appellant.
126,691	State of Kansas, Appellee, v. Daniel Patrick Balderes, Appellant.
127,724	City of Topeka, Appellee, v. Laine C. Barnard, Appellant.
127,725	City of Topeka, Appellee, v. Laine C. Barnard, Appellant.
127,726	City of Topeka, Appellee, v. Laine C. Barnard, Appellant.
127,784	State of Kansas, Appellee, v. Ronald E. Stanley, Appellant.