

Child Support Committee Committee

Date: 8/26/2022 Time: 9:30 a.m. – 12:30 p.m. Meeting location: Zoom	Attendees:	Y	N		Y	N
	Hon. Keven O’Grady, chair	X		Marc White	X	
	Hon. Constance Alvey		X	Richard Samaniego	X	
	Hon. Eric Godderz	X		Ryan Brady	X	
	Charlie Harris	X		Sara Beezley	X	
	Sherri Loveland	X		Marcie Martinez	X	
	Doni Mooberry		X	Susan Mayer	X	
	Michelle Slinkard	X		OJA Staff:		
				Amy Raymond	X	

Minutes

1	Welcome – Judge O’Grady
2	Subcommittees <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Committee discussed study topics for each subcommittee • General Language/Forms/Appendices <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Discussion of whether it is feasible to do a self-guided interview; DCF is doing this and will share the information; A. Raymond described the judicial branch marriage license and protection order portals ○ C. Harris stated he does not believe the short form DRA is valuable because it only has one person’s income; R. Samaniego suggested the property piece of the full DRA could be an addendum; S. Mayer suggested adding lines to the child support worksheet to list some of the items • Tax Considerations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ C. Harris stated there is a difference when calculating the tax consideration by hand and when using Bradley software; EZ soft now owns Bradley • Parenting Time <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ No additional topics for the list ○ S. Loveland stated wen starting to discuss the topics, more items may come up ○ Discussion of tracking equal parenting time and use of parenting plan ○ Judge K. O’Grady stated may need to reemphasize need for 50/50 parenting time plan to be filed and followed • Income Considerations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ C. Harris stated need to discuss whether federal minimum wage is appropriate as imputed income ○ S. Loveland asked whether ability to pay language is still needed to comply with federal regulations ○ R. Samaniego stated a judge is imputing childcare costs when imputing income; asked if others seeing this as well; S. Loveland stated caselaw states “no other reasonable alternative” allows imputing childcare and agreed it would be good to look at this • Adjustments <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ C. Harris stated need to look at multiple cases with one non-custodial parent – believes we need to look at multifamily adjustment ○ Committee discussed looking a car and car insurance costs, college tuition while a child is still in high school ○ Committee discussed direct expenses list and whether there needs to be a specific definition or a more specific list

Minutes

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ R. Brady suggested a general explanation of how tables work and what is included in child support○ R. Samaniego asked that the committee examine language around when both parents carry insurance
3	<p>Other items for discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Economist scope of work: C. Harris asked whether economist could determine whether 50/50 shared is comparable to equal parenting time calculation; S. Loveland commented she rarely sees arguments – must use shared expenses and use 5-2-2-5 or 2-2-3 arrangement and not using equal parenting time; Judge K. O’Grady commented he uses EPT almost exclusively

Next Meeting

Date: 10/28/2022

Time: 9:30 a.m. – 12:30 p.m.

Meeting location: Zoom

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/86119491942>

Meeting ID: 861 1949 1942

Passcode: 485069